Scrutiny Survey Report 2014 – Appendix 1: Trend and respondent analysis

Format Web – a link to the survey was emailed to members and officers

Date range: 28th April 2014 to 16th May 2014

Total responses: 44 (web)

Q1: How effectively do you think the new Overview and Scrutiny Committee structure is working?						
			2013/2014			2012/13
	All Resp	ondents	Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
Very effective	6	14.3%	14.3%	33.3%	8.3%	8.7%
Effective	24	57.1%	57.1%	66.7%	50.0%	60.9%
Neither	1	2.4%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%
Not very effective	2	4.8%	14.3%	0.0%	4.2%	4.3%
Not effective at all	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Don't know	9	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	13.0%
Trend (Total of 'e	Trend (Total of 'effective' responses 2013/14 compared with 2012/13)					

Q2: How could we improve the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Structure fu	ırther?
Tell people about it and what you do	Officer
Whilst there will always be fresh items of business, e.g. dealing with call-in	
requests, I still feel the Committee is trying to do too much; and, at times, diverts	
its attention away from its agreed work programme on to single issue items of	
business. Just as the Council has narrowed its priorities to better align with the	
financial and officer resources available, the Committee might wish to consider	
doing likewise.	Officer
Not sure I have enough knowledge to say how to improve it.	Officer
Understand what the role is and what decisions are made by the committee	Officer
Many staff are not aware of the important role that Scrutiny undertake and have	
little contact with members of the Committee.	Officer
This comment is not really about the structure as such but I feel it would improve	
the whole scrutiny experience. By encouraging all members to take a more	
positive and pro-active role in the scrutiny process. There are still complaints now	
and again that back bench members aren't informed about things etc - if they	
became more involved with scrutiny they would not only be informed but also be	
able to have some input into policies, strategies and courses of action before the	Other
final decision is made.	Member
	Other
I have been on scrutiny so don't know	Member
D. W. C.	Other
Better communication	Member
The very close relationship between Executive and Scrutiny undermines the	
scrutiny process. Some members of scrutiny do not say anything in the presence	
of members of the executive. They don't even ask questions and yet they are	Scrutiny
expected to take part in scrutiny.	Member

Can think of no improvements at the moment but, as always, we will seek to	Scrutiny
improve.	Member
Support for admin needs to be firmed up especially as there have been changes	in
Democratic Services. Also more Councillors need to be involved in the Groups. I	
think that a cabinet member not attached to the issue under Scrutiny could take	Scrutiny
part as we have Asst Execs as well as excess so reducing available pool.	Member

Q3: How useful has the introduction of scrutiny link officers been?						
			2012/13			
	All Resp	ondents	Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
Very useful	10	22.7%	14.3%	33.3%	8.3%	21.7%
Useful	16	36.4%	57.1%	66.7%	50.0%	30.4%
Neither	6	13.6%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Not very useful	0	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	4.2%	4.3%
Not useful at all	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Don't know	12	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	39.1%
Trend (Total of	'useful' re	sponses 2	013/14 com	pared with	2012/13)	+7%

Q4: How could we improve the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Structure fu	rther?
More involvement at team meetings etc	Officer
Tell people what you do	Officer
I haven't encountered the function so difficult to make suggestions.	Officer
Who are the scrutiny link officers?	Officer
If I am very honest I probably don't pay enough attention to scrutiny, having a number of other interests to juggle (chair of planning committee, ward member - where my two colleagues are currently indisposed on medical grounds (so I am doing all the casework), Member of County council for another area, vice chair of Audit at the county and member of the fire authority. Unless scrutiny directly impacts on these areas if I am honest I can't see me taking an active role in the near future.	Other Member
Seems to be little enthusiasm from some of the officers but this is improving	
meeting by meeting. Meetings are now to be held less often so may improve the	Scrutiny
quality of the ones we do hold.	Member

Q5: How effective are the informal scrutiny pre-agenda meetings?						
			2013/2014	ļ		2012/13
	All Resp	ondents	Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
Very effective	1	2.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%
Effective	16	37.2%	28.6%	50.0%	37.5%	40.9%
Neither	6	14.0%	28.6%	0.0%	16.7%	13.6%
Not very effective	1	2.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%
Not effective at all	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Don't know	19	44.2%	14.3%	50.0%	45.8%	36.4%
Trend (Total of 'et	ffective' re	sponses 2	013/14 com	pared with	2012/13)	-5.9%

Q6: How could we improve pre-agenda meetings?	
Not attended any meetings, so difficult to comment. But, I understand that they're	
effective.	Officer
Could be better used / attended by officers / members bringing reports forward.	
Perhaps better promotion would help.	Officer
I'm not aware of the scrutiny process, so unsure of the benefits of the pre agenda	
meetings	Officer
Effective but time consuming	Officer
Without detracting from the informality sometimes they could do with a bit more	Other
focus.	Member
I haven't attended one personally but I believe they are effective at least from what	
officers have said. Scrutiny members and those attending to address the	Other
committee all appear to be more at ease and working from the same hymn sheet!	Member
This is a difficult one. Feel that pre agenda meetings are a great idea, but I am	
never sure when they take place. Perhaps if the meetings better flagged up it	Scrutiny
would be useful.	Member
Not always necessary to have one, but we have had very good meetings when	
they have taken place. Up to date information not always available as early as	
needed, but this is because officers want scrutiny to have the most relevant data at the meeting.	Scrutiny Member

		2012/13				
	All Resp	ondents	Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
Improved a lot	3	6.8%	14.3%	20.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Improved	15	34.1%	42.9%	40.0%	29.2%	30.4%
Stayed the same	8	18.2%	42.9%	0.0%	16.7%	26.1%
Got worse	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Don't know	18	40.9%	0.0%	40.0%	54.2%	34.8
Trend (Total of 'im	Trend (Total of 'improved' responses 2013/14 compared with 2012/13)					

Q8: What could we do to improve the scoping of scrutiny review further?	
I think this activity has improved. But, I would encourage the Committee to draw	
more on the officer resources of the Council to help scope future reviews. There	
are occasions where a particular path has been followed, which could have been	
closed down earlier if advice from officers had been sought.	Officer
Consult relevant service head / manager and relevant portfolio holder for	
comment.	Officer
I don't have access to the reviews	Officer
Panel chairs do not always have the skills to undertake the scoping and yet there	
are no resources they can draw on. This is a deterrent for people who want to	Scrutiny
volunteer to chair panels.	Member
The tools we use for the report are a bit difficult to get ones head round especially	Scrutiny
if one has used other project planning and reporting tools	Member

Publish reviews We need to make the right appointments to the new roles that have been created within Democratic services to help support the scrutiny review panels with their research, report writing etc. Officer Of		
We need to make the right appointments to the new roles that have been created within Democratic services to help support the scrutiny review panels with their research, report writing etc. More pre planning with key Officers involved in the review Consult relevant manager / portfolio holder on final draft scrutiny project report so comments can be considered by the Project Group before they finalise the report. Ensure there is a written report back from Cabinet with a decision on scrutiny recommendations so it is clear when recommendations have been approved or refused and the impact of scrutiny can be clearly measured. Officer Officer Officer Officer In think care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are In think care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are In think care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are In think care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are Other Member Involve relevant (cabinet) members and officers from the beginning and also keep them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow	Q9: What else could we do to improve the operation and outcomes of scrutiny reviews?	
within Democratic services to help support the scrutiny review panels with their research, report writing etc. Officer Officer Officer Involved in the review Officer Consult relevant manager / portfolio holder on final draft scrutiny project report so comments can be considered by the Project Group before they finalise the report. Ensure there is a written report back from Cabinet with a decision on scrutiny recommendations so it is clear when recommendations have been approved or refused and the impact of scrutiny can be clearly measured. Officer Oorli know sorry! Officer Othics Involve relevant (cabinet) members and officers from the beginning and also keep them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise worth in the properties of scrutiny scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the n	Publish reviews	Officer
More pre planning with key Officers involved in the review Consult relevant manager / portfolio holder on final draft scrutiny project report so comments can be considered by the Project Group before they finalise the report. Ensure there is a written report back from Cabinet with a decision on scrutiny recommendations so it is clear when recommendations have been approved or refused and the impact of scrutiny can be clearly measured. Officer Onn't know sorry! Not sure Officer Onton't know sorry! Officer Onton't know sorry! Officer Officer Onton't know sorry! Officer Other Member Involve relevant (cabinet) reparts are of officer of until being asked to attempt a devent of until being asked to attempt a devent of until being asked to attempt a devent of until being asked to other members of scrutiny an	We need to make the right appointments to the new roles that have been created	
More pre planning with key Officers involved in the review Consult relevant manager / portfolio holder on final draft scrutiny project report so comments can be considered by the Project Group before they finalise the report. Ensure there is a written report back from Cabinet with a decision on scrutiny recommendations so it is clear when recommendations have been approved or refused and the impact of scrutiny can be clearly measured. Officer Oon't know sorry! Officer It hink care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are lithink care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are officer of them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! Better sharing of findings Other Member Better sharing of findings Don't know Better sharing of findings Other Member I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Other Member Scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they ar	within Democratic services to help support the scrutiny review panels with their	
More pre planning with key Officers involved in the review Consult relevant manager / portfolio holder on final draft scrutiny project report so comments can be considered by the Project Group before they finalise the report. Ensure there is a written report back from Cabinet with a decision on scrutiny recommendations so it is clear when recommendations have been approved or refused and the impact of scrutiny can be clearly measured. Officer Oon't know sorry! Officer It hink care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are lithink care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are officer of them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! Better sharing of findings Other Member Better sharing of findings Don't know Better sharing of findings Other Member I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Other Member Scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they ar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Officer
Consult relevant manager / portfolio holder on final draft scrutiny project report so comments can be considered by the Project Group before they finalise the report. Ensure there is a written report back from Cabinet with a decision on scrutiny recommendations so it is clear when recommendations have been approved or refused and the impact of scrutiny can be clearly measured. Officer On't know sorry! Officer		
comments can be considered by the Project Group before they finalise the report. Ensure there is a written report back from Cabinet with a decision on scrutiny recommendations so it is clear when recommendations have been approved or refused and the impact of scrutiny can be clearly measured. Officer Don't know sorry! Not sure I think care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are timely in terms of policy development. Involve relevant (cabinet) members and officers from the beginning and also keep them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise Curuiny Member Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new Scrutiny way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event		
Ensure there is a written report back from Cabinet with a decision on scrutiny recommendations so it is clear when recommendations have been approved or refused and the impact of scrutiny can be clearly measured. Officer Don't know sorry! Not sure Officer Officar Other Member Other Member Other Member Other Member Other Member Other Me		
recommendations so it is clear when recommendations have been approved or refused and the impact of scrutiny can be clearly measured. Officer Don't know sorry! Officer I think care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are timely in terms of policy development. Involve relevant (cabinet) members and officers from the beginning and also keep them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I delieve a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event		
refused and the impact of scrutiny can be clearly measured. Don't know sorry! Not sure I think care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are timely in terms of policy development. Involve relevant (cabinet) members and officers from the beginning and also keep them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Better sharing of findings Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event		
Don't know sorry! Not sure I think care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are timely in terms of policy development. Involve relevant (cabinet) members and officers from the beginning and also keep them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Better sharing of findings Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event	· •	Officer
Not sure I think care needs to be taken in capturing contributions and ensuring they are timely in terms of policy development. Involve relevant (cabinet) members and officers from the beginning and also keep them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Better sharing of findings Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when all disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. Scrutiny member because they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event		
Other Member limely in terms of policy development. Other Member limely in the sort limely informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never Member of the parties are not scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never Member of Scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise control of scrutiny demonstration our attempts to scrutinise scrutiny member lime for us to see the groups work under the new scrutiny way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event	•	
Involve relevant (cabinet) members and officers from the beginning and also keep them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Better sharing of findings Other Member Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event		
Involve relevant (cabinet) members and officers from the beginning and also keep them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Better sharing of findings Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise scrutiny dember scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event	· · ·	
them informed etc. Of the 2 scrutiny reviews I can think of that had some involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Better sharing of findings Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event	·	MEHIDEL
involvement with my portfolio, the first I wasn't even aware of until being asked to attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Better sharing of findings Other Member Othe		
attend scrutiny committee where it was getting a final reading, the 2nd I knew little about even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Better sharing of findings Other Member Other		
About even when I attended one of the review meetings! I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Better sharing of findings Don't know Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event		Othor
I am not aware of what goes on in scrutiny as I am not on the committee and never have been Better sharing of findings Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event	,	
Better sharing of findings Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Member		
Better sharing of findings Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Other Member Member	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Better sharing of findings Other Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Member	THAVE DECIT	
Don't know Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event	Better sharing of findings	
Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event		
Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event	Don't know	
members of scrutiny do not seem to know the difference between scrutiny and political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event	Encourage proper discussions rather than party political charade. I think some	
political jousting. Each member of scrutiny should be afforded even when you don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Member		
don't agree with their view. Having two chairs is not at all effective as their different styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Member		
styles of chairing increase inconsistencies. I have every respect for one even when I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Scrutiny Member Scrutiny Member	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
I disagree but have no consideration to the other who seems to think all members of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise Scrutiny Member council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event		
of scrutiny from other parties are enemies just because they don't tow the line. I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise Scrutiny Member council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event	· ·	Scrutiny
I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset' sessions would help to remove some of confusion in our attempts to scrutinise council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Scrutiny Scrutiny Member		•
Scrutiny Scrutiny Member Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Scrutiny Member Scrutiny Member	I believe a number of scrutiny members find scrutiny confusing, I think some 'inset'	
Council policy. Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Member		Scrutiny
Ensure they are carried out to the agreed date where possible and also ensure the scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Member	council policy.	•
Scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Member		Scrutiny
I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Member	scoping is agreed before ANY action is taken	•
way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event Member	I think it needs a bit more time for us to see the groups work under the new	
	scheme as it is early days yet. I think Officers still need to understand the new	Scrutiny
	way of working as some still appear to think we are being critical after the event	Member
Scrutiny		•
Secretarial assistance Member	Secretarial assistance	Member

Q10: Has the resour	Q10: Has the resource support for scrutiny and scrutiny reviews improved over the last 12 months?							
			2013/2014	,		2012/13		
	All Resp	ondents	Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All		
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents		
Improved a lot	3	7%	14.3%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Improved	9	21%	42.9%	10.0%	16.7%	17.4%		
Stayed the same	8	18%	14.3%	10.0%	20.8%	43.5%		
Got worse	2	5%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%		
Don't know	22	50%	0.0%	60.0%	62.5%	34.8%		
Trend (Total of 'im	Trend (Total of 'improved' responses 2013/14 compared with 2012/13)							

Q11: How could we improve the resourcing for scrutiny and scrutiny reviews?	
Ensure Scrutiny Project Group Leads complete and submit the relevant Resource	
Request Form to the Policy and Scrutiny Officer / Scrutiny Chair	Officer
what are the current arrangements for scrutiny support	Officer
	Scrutiny
Still no admin support.	Member
If anything it has decreased at a time when scrutiny is taking on more and more	
work. The resources identified in the review that lead to the new structure have not	
materialised. Panels have no resources to support them and it seems that the	Scrutiny
scrutiny officer feels her support is to the forum chairs.	Member

Q12: To what extent have new constitutional scrutiny procedure rules and informal protocols improved?									
		2013/2014 2012/13							
	All Resp	ondents	Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All			
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents			
Improved a lot	3	6.8%	0.0%	10.0%	4.2%	13.0%			
Improved	19	43.2%	71.4%	60.0%	29.2%	26.1%			
Stayed the same	5	11.4%	14.3%	0.0%	16.7%	13.0%			
Got worse	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%			
Don't know	17	38.6%	14.3%	30.0%	50.0%	43.5%			
Trend (Total of 'im	proved' re	sponses 2	013/14 com	npared with	2012/13)	+10.9			

Q13: How could we improve the procedures and protocols further?	
It has been useful to have the communications but as i haven't been through the	
process for a while it's hard to say how to improve.	Officer
Scrutiny seems to have upped its profile and was impressed by the public	Other
consultation at assemblies	Member

Q14: How useful have induction/follow up learning sessions for members and officers
been during the last 12 months?

		2012/13				
	All Resp	ondents	Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
Very useful	2	4.8%	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%	4.5%
Useful	15	35.7%	71.4%	33.3%	25.0%	50%
Neither	3	7.1%	14.3%	0.0%	8.3%	9.1%
Not very useful	1	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
Not useful at all	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Don't know/have not						
attended	21	50.0%	14.3%	44.4%	62.5%	36.4%
Trend (Total of	'useful' re	sponses 2	013/14 com	pared with	2012/13)	-14%

Q15: How could we improve the procedures and protocols further?					
More inset required	Scrutiny				
	Member				
The members who attend seem happy, but not very well attended. It has been	Scrutiny				
suggested that we have them later, but this would mean a special meeting held	Member				
separately from the forum. This may not be popular either.					

Q16: Are you aware of any scrutiny project group reviews being reported to full Council over the last 12 months? (This does not include the Scrutiny Annual Report)						
2013/2014 201						2012/13
	All Resp	ondents	Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
Yes	17	40.5%	28.6%	66.7%	37.5%	61.1%
No	25	59.5%	71.4%	33.3%	62.5%	38.9%
Tren	id ('yes' re	sponses 2	013/14 com	npared with	2012/13)	-20.6%

Q17: What scrutiny project group reviews do you think should have been reportfull council during the last 12 months?	orted to
New proposed leisure centre at Queen's Park	Officer
The outcomes of a number of reviews have been reported at Cabinet, on the basis	
that this is the appropriate decision-making body pertaining to the subject matter(s)	
under review. I can't think of any reviews that should have been reported to full	
Council during the past 12 months.	Officer
New QPSC	Officer
All scrutiny project reviews report to Cabinet and the minutes of all Cabinet	
meetings are considered by full Council. Therefore full Council is aware of all	Other
scrutiny project reviews that have reported to Cabinet	Member
I could be wrong but I think all the scrutiny reviews were reported to cabinet.	
Perhaps it would be a good idea to report all scrutiny reviews to full council first, if	
the recommendations are something that cabinet has to make the decision about	
full council can always refer it to cabinet. By reporting to council first, all members	
will hear the details etc and would get an opportunity to ask questions - whether to	Other
the scrutiny lead or a cabinet member, perhaps both - and discuss etc	Member

Q18: Has your awareness of the forward plan, key decisions and their importance improved over the last 12 months?								
		2013/2014 2012/13						
	All Re	All Respondents Scrutiny Other Officer All						
	No	No % Member Member Respondents						
A great deal		Q 19.6%	1/1/20/	22 20/	16 7%	31.99/		

	All Resp	ondents	Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
A great deal	8	18.6%	14.3%	22.2%	16.7%	31.8%
To some extent	19	44.2%	57.1%	44.4%	45.8%	54.5%
No	11	25.6%	28.6%	11.1%	29.2%	9.1%
Don't know	5	11.6%	0.0%	22.2%	8.3%	4.5%
Trend (To	otal 'yes' re	sponses 2	013/14 con	npared with	2012/13)	-23.5%

Q19: How could we further raise the profile of the forward plan and its importa				
As I'm working with the Forward Plan every week, I'm very much aware of it. It				
might be worth publishing through social media (Facebook, Twitter) advance				
notice of up and coming key decisions.	Officer			
Given my position I was fully aware of them before An article in Borough Bulletin,				
info on intranet	Officer			
Ensure each entry in the Forward Plan clearly outlines what the matter is about.	Officer			
By encouraging members, particularly, members of Scrutiny Committees to read it.				
Maybe whenever the forward plan is updated all Members could automatically be	Other			
sent an e mail that includes a link to the updated forward plan.	Member			
	Other			
Discussion at assemblies - I attend 3 of the 4.	Member			
	Scrutiny			
I have always used forward plan effectively in the last 5 years.	Member			

Q20: Do you agree that the scrutiny and executive working relationship involving members and officers throughout the organisation has improved over the last 12 months?

		2012/13				
	All Respondents		Scrutiny Other	Other	er Officer	All
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
Strongly agree	8	18.6%	14.3%	33.3%	16.7%	28.6%
Tend to agree	18	41.9%	42.9%	66.7%	29.2%	38.1%
Neither	6	14.0%	28.6%	0.0%	16.7%	19%
Tend to disagree	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0
Strongly disagree	1	2.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0
Don't know	10	23.3%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	14.3%
Trend (Total	'agree' re	sponses 2	013/14 com	npared with	2012/13)	-6.2%

Q21: Please give reasons for your answer:	
I can't really talk from personal experience, as I've only been on a couple of	
occasions. But, I sense from talking to Executive members and officer colleagues	
that relationships are much improved.	Officer
The Link Officers meeting has been instrumental in this	Officer
Much more pro-active working and informal communication taking place between	
officers and members around scrutiny and scrutiny work.	Officer
As a member of cabinet I have benefitted from invites to and information from	Other

scrutiny.	Member
The periodic informal discussions between the Scrutiny Chairs and Executive	
Members have started and seem to be working satisfactorily. In addition,	
Executive Members are now attending brief sessions with Scrutiny Members	
before the start of Scrutiny Forum meetings to update them on progress with Great	Other
Place Great Service.	Member
I am a Cabinet member rather than a scrutiny member - from my perspective (as a	
former scrutiny member and now a cabinet member) the scrutiny / executive	
relationship is improving constantly. 3 years ago I don't think there was a	
relationship between the two - it was frustrating as a scrutiny member to be	
presented with a document, make valid comments and suggestions that meant	
nothing as the document had already been signed off - scrutiny had no input! As	
far as I can I always ask for things to go to scrutiny before sign off. Scrutiny	Other
members may have a different view to this!	Member
	Other
higher profile	Member
Refer to previous remarks. Closer relationship leading to worse outcomes in my	Scrutiny
opinion.	Member

Q22: Do you support the continuation of bi-monthly informal meetings between the Chair, Vice Chair and Cabinet Portfolio members?						
2013/2014					2012/13	
	All Respondents		Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
Yes	24	54.5%	57.1%	60.0%	50.0%	59.1%
No	1	2.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Don't know	19	43.2%	28.6%	40.0%	50.0%	31.8%
Trend ('Yes' responses 2013/14 compared with 2012/13)					-4.6	

Q23: Please give reasons for your answer:	
Did not know that happened	Officer
Ensure joined up thinking between Scrutiny chairs and Exec members	Officer
Provides opportunity for informal conversations about scrutiny outside of the public	
arena.	Officer
what are the benefits of these meetings?	Officer
We live in challenging times where difficult decisions have to be made. Scrutiny	Other
becomes very important in these circumstances.	Member
How else can the Chair and Vice Chair be kept informed about current	
developments and what will be coming up over the horizon in relation to the	Other
portfolio holder's portfolio. Nothing beats regular face to face discussions.	Member
I haven't been to one as yet, I haven't felt the need to. However, if there was	
something I wanted to discuss with the scrutiny chairs I would contact them	
anyway. In addition, I do try to ensure all new projects etc in my portfolio are taken	
to scrutiny in one form or another - for example, the relevant officer may just have	0.1
an informal meeting with the chairs, who can then decide whether a committee	Other
should get involved etc.	Member
	Other
Too often once a month should be sufficient	Member
	Scrutiny
Not aware of such meetings	Member

Scrutiny should be careful about seeing to collude with Lead Members. The	his is	Scrutiny
hierarchical and not democratic		Member

Q24: Have you experienced any barriers or difficulties under the new scrutiny arrangements?						
2013/2014					2012/13	
	All Resp	ondents	Scrutiny	Other	Officer	All
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
Yes	4	9.30%	28.6%	20.0%	0.0%	N/A
No	27	62.80%	57.1%	50.0%	70.8%	N/A
Don't know	12	27.90%	14.3%	30.0%	29.2%	N/A

Q25: If yes, what are the barriers and how could we reduce them?	
I believe that Scrutiny needs to become more flexible in terms of arranging dates,	
times and venues for both committee meetings and informal meetings with	
Executive Members, to make more use of the telephone for ascertaining	
availabilities and to make more use of the Microsoft Calendar system for issuing	Other
invitations to meetings.	Member
	Other
Sometimes lack of notice. Once a lack of invite to a relevant scrutiny meeting.	Member
	Scrutiny
The issue of cutting across other formal processes such as planning	Member
There is a lot of antagonism towards members of the main opposition and this has	
2 effects: - their views are quickly disregarded if they are not shouted down - some	
opposition members no longer contribute as they see the process as a waste of	Scrutiny
time.	Member

Q26: Thinking about your overall experience of scrutiny over the last 12 months, do you think scrutiny has improved?						
	2013/2014				2012/13	
	All Respondents So		Scrutiny Other	Officer	All	
	No	%	Member	Member		Respondents
Improved a lot	7	16.3%	14.3%	20.0%	12.5%	26.1%
Improved	18	41.9%	57.1%	70.0%	29.2%	21.7%
Stayed the same	4	9.3%	14.3%	0.0%	12.5%	26.1%
Got worse	1	2.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Don't know	13	30.2%	0.0%	10.0%	45.8%	26.1%
Trend (Total 'improved' responses 2013/14 compared with 2012/13)				+10.4		